## **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Commissioners Hardy, Hadley, Landis, Server and Ziegner

FROM: Jerry L. Webb, Director of Gas/Water/Sewer Division

**DATE:** August 24, 2006

RE: Utility Articles for Next Conference

The following Final Articles A and C are submitted to each of you to acquaint you with the tariffs eligible for action or acceptance on or after August 30, 2006.

## "A" Final

The following new miscellaneous item will be eligible for final consideration at the next Commission Conference and based upon a review of it by staff members of the Commission, I recommend approval.

# 1. Sullivan Vigo Rural Water Corporation

The utility is proposing to increase the connection (tap-on) charge for a 5/8 inch meter from \$695.00 to \$775.00. The reason for this increase is due to increases in labor and material costs. The tap-on charge for a meter larger than 5/8" shall be the actual cost of materials and installing the tap, but shall not be less than the charge of a 5/8" meter tap. If a road bore is necessary to complete the tap, the charge will be \$714.00 in addition to the \$775.00 tap charge totaling \$1,489.00. The utility also proposes to add renters to the Membership Fee charge. Cost support has been provided for the changes to the connection charges.

Description	Current Charge	Proposed Charge
Connection Charge 5/8" meter	\$695.00	\$775.00
Connection Charge larger than 5/8"	Cost not less than	Cost not less than
meter	\$695.00	\$775.00
Connection Charge 5/8" meter with road	\$695.00	\$1,489.00
bore		
Connection Charge larger than 5/8"	Cost not less than	Cost not less than
meter with road bore	\$695.00	\$1,489.00

The tariff page affected by this filing is page 2 of 2.

## "C" Finals

In order to maintain parity and be in compliance with the Commission's Final Order in Cause No. 39369 issued June 2, 1993, the following local exchange carriers have filed intrastate access parity tariffs mirroring their respective interstate equivalent. The requirements prescribed for this filing in Commission Order No. 39369 have been carried out and I recommend approval.

## Federal Communications Commission

<u>ltem</u>	Local Exchange Carrier	Transmittal <u>Number</u>	File <u>Date</u>	Effective <u>Date</u>
1.	IBT d/b/a AT&T Indiana	1560	6-16-06	7-01-06
2.	IBT d/b/a AT&T Indiana	1568	7-28-06	8-12-06
3.	IBT d/b/a AT&T Indiana	1571	8-14-06	8-13-06 *
4.	Frontier Comms of Thorntown	95	6-16-06	7-01-06
5.	Frontier Comms of Thorntown	96	6-16-06	7-01-06
6.	Frontier Comms of Thorntown	97	6-28-06	7-01-06
7.	Frontier Comms of Thorntown	98	7-17-06	8-01-06
8.	Frontier Comms of Thorntown	99	7-27-06	7-28-06
9.	RTC Comm. Corp.	75	7-10-06	7-25-06
10.	Communications Corp of Ind.	1128	6-16-06	7-01-06
11.	Communications Corp of Ind.	1129	6-16-06	7-01-06
12.	Communications Corp of Ind.	1130	6-20-06	7-05-06
13.	Communications Corp of Ind.	1131	6-29-06	7-01-06

<sup>\*</sup> Note: On IBT 1571 the reason for the effective date to be before the file date is the nature of the filing. IBT 1571 affects the effective date of IBT 1568. Some of the conditions of IBT 1568 are part of an on going investigation by the FCC and the practices is to suspend the filing for one day and then it is conditionally approved pending the outcome of the investigation. IBT was slow in filing the required filing to request this process.

Please indicate your consideration and action of all the preceding items on the appropriate lines provided below.				
	Jerry L. Webb			
	Director of Gas/Water/Sewer Division			
I approve of all items as presented above				
I approve of all items as presented above	excent:			
r approve or all items as presented above	ехсері.			
Net a satisfaction to the fellowing trans-				
Not participating in the following items:				
I,	, Disapprove Items			
No.				
Ι,	, Wish to Defer Items			
No.				
I haraby cartify that the above is a true on	d correct copy of the action on the articles			
I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the action on the articles.				
Astion Francisco Oc.				
Acting Executive Secretary				